

**Chancellor's Advisory Committee
Elected Committee
Annual Report for 2001
January 10, 2002**

Membership:

Class of 2002

Judith M. Bennett (on leave 07/01/00 through 6/30/01)

Carl L. Bose

Dixie L. Spiegel

Class of 2003

Frederick P. Brooks, Jr. -Chair

Lawrence I. Gilbert

S. Elizabeth Grabowski

Class of 2004

Philip A. Bromberg

Della Pollock

Lars Schoultz

Ex officio, with vote:

The Chair of the Faculty, Sue Estroff

The Secretary of the Faculty, Joseph Ferrell

Meetings in 2001:

1/10/01, 2/07/01, 3/07/01, 4/11/01, 5/09/01, 6/10/01, 7/11/01, 8/08/01, 9/12/01, 10/10/01, 11/07/01, 12/05/01.

Report prepared by: Fred Brooks, Chair, with review of full committee.

Committee charge:

The Faculty Code of University Government states that the Advisory Committee "shall be advisory to the Chancellor in faculty personnel decisions, program planning and assessment, resource planning and allocations, and other matters which are deemed important by the Chancellor or the Committee." The Code also directs the committee to nominate candidates for open seats on the Executive Committee of the Faculty Council and for the positions of Chair of the Faculty and Secretary of the Faculty.

Previous Faculty Council questions or charges: None.

Report of Activities:

The Committee meets monthly. Before each meeting, a rotating subcommittee of three members reviews personnel actions and reports to the full Committee, which makes recommendations to the Chancellor regarding promotions or the granting of tenure, including initial appointments conferring tenure.

Besides these personnel matters, this year the Committee advised the Chancellor on our unanimous concerns about the proposed extension of UNC-Chapel Hill to a campus in Qatar.

This year, the Committee discussed at length the discrepancies between the appointment, promotion, and tenure criteria for tenure-track faculty in the School of Medicine and those elsewhere in the university. We discussed these concerns with Dean Houpt, and we discussed the new criteria document being prepared for adoption in the School of Medicine.

We also discussed, without formal recommendations, (1) coaches and (2) town-gown relationships.

Two questions raised, but not yet discussed at length, concern the role of the Committee, as it might be defined in any forthcoming modification of the instrument of university government:

1. Given the new administrative structure, with an Executive Vice Chancellor, should the Committee be advisory to that office, to the Chancellor, or to both? At what stage in the personnel process should its advice be sought?
2. With respect to policy advice, as opposed to personnel advice, what are the proper roles of the Advisory Committee, which is elected by the Faculty, and the Executive Committee of the Faculty Council, which is not?